MAY 0 7 2002

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the Chiffed States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Washington, D.C. 20231 on April 26, 2002

Doran R. Pace, Patent Attorney

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 CER

Examining Group 1655
Patent Application

Docket No. GJE-35

Serial No. 09/463,549

MAY 1 3 2002
CENTER 1600/2900

E

DEIGHAYTTA EITED

EWillia

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Examiner

Arun Chakrabarti, Ph.D.

Art Unit

1655

Applicant

Daniel Henry Densham

Serial No.

09/463,549

Filed

January 27, 2000

Conf. No.

6468

For

Nucleic Acid Sequence Analysis

Box AF

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Washington, D.C. 20231

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 CFR §1.116

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated January 29, 2002, please amend the above-identified patent application as follows:

In the Claims

Please substitute the following claim:

Claim 1 (twice amended):

- 1. A method for sequencing a polynucleotide, comprising the steps of:
- (i) reacting a target polynucleotide with a polymerase enzyme immobilised on a solid support, and complementary nucleotides, under conditions sufficient for the polymerase reaction; and

Dovles

Docket No. GJE-35 Serial No. 09/463,549

2

(ii) detecting an effect consequent on the incorporation of a specific nucleotide complementary to the target polynucleotide into a nascent polynucleotide being synthesized as a result of the polymerase reaction, to thereby determine the sequence of the target polynucleotide, the detection being carried out by measuring a change in, or absorption of, radiation that occurs if the nucleotide is incorporated.

Please add the following new claims 36 and 37:

- 36. The method according to claim 1, wherein the complementary nucleotides are not labeled.
- 37. The method according to claim 1, wherein the effect detected results from a conformation or mass change of the polymerase that occurs upon incorporation of the nucleotide.

Remarks

Claims 1, 3-21 and 30-34 are pending in the subject application. By this Amendment, Applicant has amended claim 1 and added new claims 36 and 37. Support for the amendments to the claim and new claims can be found throughout the subject specification, including, for example, at page 2, lines 25-29, and at page 3, line 36 through to page 4, line 5. Entry and consideration of the amendments presented herein is respectfully requested. Accordingly, claims 1, 3-21, 30-34, 36, and 37 are currently before the Examiner. Favorable consideration of the pending claims is respectfully requested.

Applicant gratefully acknowledges the Examiner's withdrawal of the previous rejections under 35 USC §112 and 35 USC §102(b). Although the Examiner indicates in the Office Action that the previous rejections under 35 USC §112, <u>first</u> paragraph, have been withdrawn, Applicant assumes that the Examiner intended to indicate that the rejections under 35 USC §112, <u>second</u> paragraph, have been withdrawn. However, if this is not what the Examiner intended, Applicant respectfully requests clarification.

Claims 1, 3-9, 21, and 30-34 are rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as obvious over Tsien et al. (WO 91/06678) in view of Holzrichter et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,620,854). In addition, all the pending claims are rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as obvious over Tsien et al. in view of Holzrichter et al. further in view of Schwarz et al. (1991), and in view of Chang et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,801,042), and in view of O'Donnell (U.S. Patent No. 6,221,642), and in view of Rosenthal et al. (WO 93/21340), and in view of Vind (U.S. Patent No. 6,159,687), and in view of Smith et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,753,439). Applicant respectfully traverses each of these grounds of rejection.

The Tsien et al. reference is cited as the primary reference in each of the above rejections. However, as the Examiner acknowledges, the Tsien et al. reference does not teach or suggest the use of an immobilized polymerase. The Examiner relies on the Holzrichter et al. patent as teaching the use of an immobilized polymerase. The Examiner asserts that the Schwarz et al. (1991) reference teaches the detection of nucleic acid incorporation by surface plasmon resonance signal over time in the infra-red spectrum. The Examiner relies upon the Chang et al. patent for teaching a competitive inhibitor of the polymerase enzyme and the O'Donnell patent for teaching the beta-2 dimer complex of the E. coli DNA polymerase III with a target polynucleotide. The Examiner further asserts that the

Rosenthal et al. reference teaches the Taq polymerase and that the Vind patent teaches reverse transcriptase as a polymerase. The Examiner cites the Smith et al. patent as teaching the detection of nucleotides by NMR using electromagnetic radiation.

Applicant respectfully asserts that the subject invention is <u>not</u> obvious in view of the cited references. None of the cited references, taken alone or in combination, teach or suggest the claimed invention. Although the Tsien *et al.* reference teaches a method for sequencing nucleic acids, the Tsien *et al.* reference only teaches the use of nucleotides that are "labeled" in some manner so as to be detectable in the nucleic acid strand being synthesized. However, Applicant's claimed methods can be used with nucleotides that are <u>not</u> detectably labeled. Applicant notes that the presence of a label on a nucleotide can hinder incorporation of the nucleotide into the complementary strand being synthesized. Rather than detection of a labeled nucleotide, the subject invention relies on detection, via radiation, of a conformational and/or mass change of the polymerase when a nucleotide complementary to the target polynucleotide is incorporated into the polynucleotide chain being synthesized by the polymerase.

The Holzrichter *et al.* patent relates to a general method for identifying biochemical and chemical reactions. The method described in the Holzrichter *et al.* patent relies on the use of a scanning probe positioned on or near a reaction site, which can then detect acoustic signals emitted directly from the reaction site or transmitted through a surrounding medium. The method measures vibrations created by local mechanical events. Applicant acknowledges that the Holzrichter *et al.* patent describes an immobilized polymerase. The requirement for an immobilized polymerase is only so that the probe can be positioned as close as possible to a fixed reaction site so that the acoustic/vibration measurements can be taken. Thus, in contrast to the Applicant's claimed method, which detects changes in, or absorption of, radiation from the polymerase/nucleotide/DNA reaction complex when nucleotide incorporation occurs, the Holzrichter *et al.* method detects acoustic signals or motions (vibrations) that result from a chemical reaction.

Applicant respectfully asserts that there is no motivation to modify the methods of Tsien et al. to include an immobilized polymerase because there is no teaching or suggestion that immobilizing the polymerase in Tsien et al. method would provide better results than using a polymerase that is not immobilized. As noted above, the Tsien et al. reference relies on the detection

of fluorescent molecules attached to nucleotides. In the Tsien *et al.* method, fluorescently labeled nucleotides are incorporated into the complementary strand of a template DNA molecule that is immobilized on a solid substrate. Detection of the incorporated nucleotide within a polynucleotide template does <u>not</u> require the positioning of a detector in close proximity to the DNA/polymerase reaction, and there is no teaching or suggestion that using template DNA immobilized on a substrate results in loss of sensitivity in the detection of fluorescence or that using a polymerase immobilized on a substrate would increase sensitivity of the detection method. Moreover, in the method of Tsien *et al.*, the detection of the incorporated nucleotide is <u>not</u> even dependent on the polymerase being present at that point; in fact, the polymerase and other components are <u>washed away</u> from the immobilized template DNA (see, for example, page 12, lines 29-34, and page 13, lines 24-28, of the Tsien *et al.* reference). Accordingly, Applicant respectfully asserts that at the time of Applicant's invention there was <u>no</u> motivation to modify the detection technique used in the Tsien *et al.* reference to incorporate an immobilized polymerase. Nor would there have been any motivation to modify the teachings of the Holzrichter *et al.* patent since the Holzrichter *et al.* method relies on detection of acoustic signals rather than labeled nucleotides.

Applicant's claimed invention does not rely on detection of acoustic signals (as in the Holzrichter *et al.* patent) or the detection of an incorporated nucleotide within a polynucleotide via fluorescence (as in the Tsien *et al.* reference), but instead is based on Applicant's <u>surprising</u> discovery that the incorporation of a nucleotide into the reaction site of a polymerase during synthesis of a DNA strand complementary to the target DNA sequence results in a conformation and/or mass change in the polymerase that can be detected using radiation measurements. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully asserts that cited references do not teach or suggest the claimed invention and, therefore, the claimed invention is <u>not</u> obvious. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections under 35 USC §103(a) is respectfully requested.

It should be understood that these amendments have been made <u>solely</u> to expedite prosecution of the subject application to completion and should not be construed as an indication of Applicant's agreement with or acquiescence in regard to any of the rejections set forth in the Office Action.

In view of the foregoing remarks and amendments to the claims, Applicant believes that the currently pending claims are in condition for allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees under 37 CFR §§1.16 or 1.17 as required by this paper to Deposit Account No. 19-0065.

Applicant invites the Examiner to call the undersigned if clarification is needed on any of this response, or if the Examiner believes a telephonic interview would expedite the prosecution of the subject application to completion.

Respectfully submitted,

Doran R. Pace Patent Attorney

Registration No. 38,261

Phone No.: 3

352-375-8100

Fax No.:

352-372-5800

Address:

2421 N.W. 41st Street, Suite A-1

Gainesville, FL 32606-6669

DRP/sl

Attachment: Marked-Up Version of Amended Claim